

On a Regularity Theorem for Solutions of the Spherically Symmetric Einstein - Vlasov - Maxwell System

P. Noundjeu

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Yaounde 1,
Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroun
e-mail: noundjeu@uycdc.uninet.cm

Abstract

Using an estimate, we prove that if solution of the spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system develops a singularity at all time, then the first one has to appear at the center of symmetry.

1 Introduction

In [2], the authors prove the local existence solution for the spatial asymptotically flat spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system, with small initial data. Also for that system a continuation criterion is established in [2] and allow to extend the local solution to obtain the global one as it is the case in [5], [6]. So in the case of small initial data the initial value problem for the asymptotically flat spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system admits a global solution and the corresponding spacetime is complete, i.e all trajectories of the particles are complete, and it is known in general relativity that this kind of solutions do not develop a singularity.

In the case of large initial data, a singularity may occur even we cannot identify the point in which that singularity is observed. Using an estimate, the authors show in [4] that if solutions develop a singularity at all, the first one have to occur at the center of symmetry, and also if particles remain away from the center, the local solution of Cauchy problem for the spatial asymptotically flat spherically symmetric Vlasov-Einstein system with a given initial data can be extended to obtain the global one. That results concern uncharged particles.

It would be interesting to see what happens in the case of charged particles. More precisely is it possible to generalize the above result in the context of charged particles? The answer is yes and this is the importance of the paper. In our case the presence of electromagnetic field makes the estimates be more complicated to establish and it gives rise to a new mathematical features.

The work is organized as follows. In Sect.2, we recall the general formulation of the Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system, from which we deduce the relevant equations in the spherically symmetric case. In Sect.3, we establish the main estimates we use to prove the global existence theorem in the case that all particles remain away from the center. In Sect.4, we prove a local existence theorem and a continuation criterion for the exterior problem. Sect.5 contains the essential result obtained by using the above results.

2 Derivation of the relevant equations

We consider fast moving collisionless particles with charge q . The basic space-time is $(\mathbb{R}^4, g)$, with g a Lorentzian metric with signature $(-, +, +, +)$. In what follows, we assume that Greek indices run from 0 to 3 and Latin indices from 1 to 3, unless otherwise specified. We also adopt the Einstein summation convention. The metric g reads locally, in cartesian coordinates $(x^\alpha) = (x^0, x^i) \equiv (t, \tilde{x})$:

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta \quad (2.1)$$

The assumption of spherical symmetry allows us to take g of the following form [7]:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\mu} dt^2 + e^{2\lambda} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + (\sin \theta)^2 d\varphi^2) \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mu = \mu(t, r)$; $\lambda = \lambda(t, r)$; $t \in \mathbb{R}$; $r \in [0, +\infty[$; $\theta \in [0, \pi]$; $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$. The Einstein - Vlasov - Maxwell system can be written:

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}R = 8\pi(T_{\alpha\beta}(f) + \tau_{\alpha\beta}(F)) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{X(F)}f = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} = J^\beta; \quad \nabla_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_\beta F_{\gamma\alpha} + \nabla_\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha\beta}(f) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_\alpha p_\beta f \omega_p; \quad \tau_{\alpha\beta}(F) = -\frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{4} F_{\gamma\nu} F^{\gamma\nu} + F_{\beta\gamma} F_\alpha{}^\gamma \\ J^\beta(f)(x) &= q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p^\beta f(x, p) \omega_p, \quad \omega_p = |g|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dp^1 dp^2 dp^3}{p_0}, \quad p_0 = g_{00} p^0, \\ X^\alpha(F) &= (p^\alpha, -\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha p^\beta p^\gamma - qp^\beta F_\beta{}^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ denote the Christoffel symbols. Here, $x = (x^\alpha)$ is the position and $p = (p^\alpha)$ is the 4-momentum of particles. Also, the speed of light is set to unity. In the above expressions, f stands for the distribution function of the charged particles, F stands for the electromagnetic field created by the charged particles. Here (2.3) are the Einstein equations for the metric tensor $g = (g_{\alpha\beta})$ with sources

generated by both f and F , that appear in the stress-energy tensor $8\pi(T_{\alpha\beta} + \tau_{\alpha\beta})$. Equation (2.4) is the Vlasov equation for the distribution function f of the collisionless particles in which $\mathcal{L}_{X(f)}$ is the Lie derivative. and (2.5) are the Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic field F , with source(current) generated by f through $J = J(f)$. One verifies (using the normal coordinates) that the conservation laws $\nabla_\alpha(T^{\alpha\beta} + \tau^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ hold if f satisfies the Vlasov equation.

By the assumption of spherical symmetry, we can take g in the form (2.2). One shows, using the Maxwell equation that; F reduces to its electric part, we take it in the form $E = (E^\alpha)$ with $E^0 = 0$, $E^i = e(t, r) \frac{x^i}{r}$, and then, a straightforward calculation shows that:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{2(\lambda+\mu)}e^2(t, r); \quad \tau_{0i} = 0 \\ \tau_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{2\lambda}e^2(t, r) \left(\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \right) - e^{2\lambda} \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \right),\end{aligned}$$

where δ_{ij} denote the Kronecker symbol.

These relations and results of [2] show that the spherically symmetric Einstein - Vlasov - Maxwell system can be written as the following P.D.E system in λ, μ, f, e :

$$e^{-2\lambda}(2r\lambda' - 1) + 1 = 8\pi r^2 \rho \quad (2.6)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = -4\pi r e^{\lambda+\mu} k \quad (2.7)$$

$$e^{-2\lambda}(2r\mu' - 1) + 1 = 8\pi r^2 p \quad (2.8)$$

$$e^{-2\lambda} \left(\mu'' + (\mu' - \lambda') \left(\mu' + \frac{1}{r} \right) \right) - e^{-2\mu} (\ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}(\dot{\lambda} - \dot{\mu})) = 4\pi \bar{q} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + e^{\mu-\lambda} \frac{v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}} - \left(e^{\mu-\lambda} \mu' \sqrt{1+v^2} + \dot{\lambda} \frac{\tilde{x} \cdot v}{r} - q e^{\lambda+\mu} e(t, r) \right) \frac{\tilde{x}}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 e^\lambda e) = q r^2 e^\lambda M \quad (2.11)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^\lambda e) = -\frac{q}{r} e^\mu N \quad (2.12)$$

where $\lambda' = \frac{d\lambda}{dr}$; $\dot{\lambda} = \frac{d\lambda}{dt}$ and:

$$\rho(t, \tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \tilde{x}, v) \sqrt{1+v^2} dv + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\lambda(t, \tilde{x})} e^2(t, \tilde{x}) \quad (2.13)$$

$$k(t, \tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\tilde{x} \cdot v}{r} f(t, \tilde{x}, v) dv \quad (2.14)$$

$$p(t, \tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\tilde{x} \cdot v}{r} \right)^2 f(t, \tilde{x}, v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{1+v^2}} - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\lambda(t, \tilde{x})} e^2(t, \tilde{x}) \quad (2.15)$$

$$\bar{q}(t, \tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(v^2 - \left(\frac{\tilde{x} \cdot v}{r} \right)^2 \right) f(t, \tilde{x}, v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{1+v^2}} + e^{2\lambda(t, \tilde{x})} e^2(t, \tilde{x}) \quad (2.16)$$

$$M(t, \tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \tilde{x}, v) dv; \quad N(t, \tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\tilde{x} \cdot v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}} f(t, \tilde{x}, v) dv. \quad (2.17)$$

Here (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) are the Einstein equations for λ and μ , (2.10) is the Vlasov equation for f and (2.11) and (2.12) are the Maxwell equations for e . Here $\tilde{x}$ and v belong to $\mathbb{R}^3$, $r := |\tilde{x}|$, $\tilde{x} \cdot v$ denotes the usual scalar product of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $v^2 := v \cdot v$. The distribution function f is assumed to be invariant under simultaneous rotations of $\tilde{x}$ and v , hence ρ , k , p , M and N can be regarded as functions of t and r . It is assumed that $f(t)$ has compact support for each fixed t . We are interested in spatial asymptotically flat spacetime with a regular center, which leads to the boundary conditions that:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t, r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t, r) = \lambda(t, 0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e(t, r) = \lambda(t, 0) = e(t, 0) = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

Now, define the initial data by:

$$\begin{cases} f(0, \tilde{x}, v) = \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v); & \lambda(0, \tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(r) \\ \mu(0, \tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\mu}(\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r); & e(0, \tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{e}(\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{e}(r) \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

with $\overset{\circ}{f}$ being a C^∞ function with compact support, which is nonnegative and spherically symmetric, i.e

$$\forall A \in SO(3), \forall (\tilde{x}, v) \in \mathbb{R}^6, \overset{\circ}{f}(A\tilde{x}, Av) = \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v).$$

We have to solve the boundary initial value problem (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.18) and (2.19).

3 The Restricted Regularity Theorem

In this section, we aim to prove that a solution may be extended whenever f vanishes in a neighborhood of the center of symmetry. Take $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$, spherically symmetric, C^∞ , compactly supported with

$$8\pi \int_0^r s^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{f}(s, v) \sqrt{1+v^2} dv \right) ds < r. \quad (3.1)$$

The Constraint equations are obtained by setting $t = 0$ in (2.6), (2.8) and (2.11). In [3], the authors use perturbations techniques to construct two classes of initial data satisfying the constraints: Data for small particle charge, and data for low mass density but large particle charge. Let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a solution of the constraint equations. Then, by Theorem 4.1 of [2], there exists a regular solution (f, λ, μ, e) of the initial value problem on $[0, T[\times \mathbb{R}^6$, for some $T > 0$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ and T be as above (T finite). Assume there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$f(t, \tilde{x}, v) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq t < T \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{x}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then (f, λ, μ, e) extends to a regular solution on $[0, T'[$ for some $T' > T$.

Proof: Define

$$P(t) := \sup\{|v| : (\tilde{x}, v) \in \text{supp} f(t)\} \quad (3.2)$$

By Theorem 5.1 of [2], it suffices to show that $P(t)$ is bounded on $[0, T[$. Using (2.6), one has:

$$e^{-2\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$m(t, r) := 4\pi \int_0^r s^2 \rho(t, s) ds. \quad (3.4)$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$u := |v|, \quad w := r^{-1} \tilde{x} \cdot v, \quad L := r^2 u^2 - (\tilde{x} \cdot v)^2.$$

The characteristic system of the Vlasov equation is:

$$\dot{x} = e^{\mu-\lambda} \frac{v}{\sqrt{1+u^2}}, \quad \dot{v} = - \left(\dot{\lambda} \frac{\tilde{x} \cdot v}{r} + e^{\mu-\lambda} \sqrt{1+u^2} \mu' - q e^{\lambda+\mu} e \right) \frac{\tilde{x}}{r}$$

and since L is constant along the characteristics, we obtain:

$$\dot{L} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

$$\dot{r} = e^{\mu-\lambda} \frac{w}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\dot{w} = \frac{L}{r^3 \sqrt{1+u^2}} e^{\mu-\lambda} - w \dot{\lambda} - \mu' e^{\mu-\lambda} \sqrt{1+u^2} + q e^{\lambda+\mu} e. \quad (3.7)$$

In what follows, C denotes a constant which depends on $\overset{\circ}{f}$, ε and T . Since the values of f are conserved along characteristics, one has:

$$0 \leq f \leq \sup \overset{\circ}{f} = C.$$

Also, multiplying (2.10) by $\sqrt{1+v^2}$ and integrating in v , we get after simplification:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{x}} \left(e^{\mu-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f dv \right) + e^{\mu-\lambda} (\lambda' + \mu') k \\ + \dot{\lambda}(\rho + p) - 1/2 \partial_t (e^{2\lambda} e^2) - q e^{\lambda+\mu} e N / r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. But, using (2.12), one has:

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 \partial_t (e^{2\lambda} e^2) &= 1/2 \partial_t (e^\lambda e)^2 = e^\lambda e \partial_t (e^\lambda e) \\ &= (e^\lambda e) (-q/r e^\mu N) = -q e^{\lambda+\mu} e N / r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{x}} \left(e^{\mu-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f dv \right) + e^{\mu-\lambda} (\lambda' + \mu') k + \dot{\lambda}(\rho + p) = 0.$$

We now use (2.7) and the relation $\lambda' + \mu' = 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(\rho + p)$, to obtain:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{x}} \left(e^{\mu-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f dv \right) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and integration of (3.8) in $\tilde{x}$ -variable yields, since f is compactly supported:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \rho d\tilde{x} = 0.$$

So, $\partial_t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(t, \tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \right) = 0$, and we deduce from this that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(t, \tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(0, \tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} = C.$$

Next, using (3.4), we obtain:

$$0 \leq m(t, r) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(t, \tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \leq C, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Using the boundary conditions (2.18) and the fact that $\lambda' + \mu' \geq 0$, we deduce: $\mu - \lambda \leq \mu + \lambda \leq 0$. Also, we have $0 \leq L \leq C$ on the support of f . Thus

$$u^2 = w^2 + L/r^2 \leq w^2 + C/\varepsilon^2 \leq w^2 + C. \quad (3.10)$$

The relation (3.10) shows that we need to obtain a bound for w . To do this, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} P_i(t) &:= \inf \{ w : \exists \tilde{x}, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with } f(t, \tilde{x}, v) \neq 0 \text{ and } w = r^{-1} \tilde{x} \cdot v \} \\ P_s(t) &:= \sup \{ w : \exists \tilde{x}, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with } f(t, \tilde{x}, v) \neq 0 \text{ and } w = r^{-1} \tilde{x} \cdot v \}. \end{aligned}$$

If $P_i(t)$ and $P_s(t)$ are bounded then the same will be true for $P(t)$. Also, we have:

$$\text{measure} \{ v : (\tilde{x}, v) \in \text{supp } f(t) \} \leq \pi C \varepsilon^{-2} (P_s(t) - P_i(t)), \quad |\tilde{x}| \geq \varepsilon. \quad (3.11)$$

We concentrate on the characteristic equation for w . Using (2.8) and (3.3) we obtain:

$$\mu' = e^{2\lambda}(r^{-2}m + 4\pi rp).$$

So, insertion of this and (2.7) in (3.7) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} = & \frac{L}{r^3\sqrt{1+u^2}}e^{\mu-\lambda} - r^{-2}me^{\lambda+\mu}\sqrt{1+u^2} + 4\pi re^{\mu+\lambda}(wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}) \\ & + qe^{\mu+\lambda}e. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The first term in the right hand side of (3.12) is bounded by:

$$0 \leq \frac{L}{r^3\sqrt{1+u^2}}e^{\mu-\lambda} \leq C\varepsilon^{-3} \leq C$$

on the support of f . Also, the second term in the right hand side of (3.12) is bounded, using (3.9) and (3.10):

$$0 \leq r^{-2}me^{\mu+\lambda}\sqrt{1+u^2} \leq \varepsilon^{-2}C\sqrt{C+w^2} \leq C\sqrt{C+w^2}. \quad (3.13)$$

Next, integrating (2.11) in r -variable on $[0, r]$, one obtains:

$$e(t, r) = \frac{q}{r^2}e^{-\lambda(t, r)} \int_0^r s^2 e^{\lambda(t, s)} M(t, s) ds \quad (3.14)$$

and we observe that on the support of f , one gets:

$$|e(t, r)| \leq C/r^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-2} \leq C. \quad (3.15)$$

So, we deduce from this a bound of the fourth term in the right hand side of (3.12):

$$0 \leq |qe^{\mu+\lambda}e(t, r)| \leq C. \quad (3.16)$$

Using the above inequalities, we obtain the following estimate for $\dot{w}$:

$$-C\sqrt{C+w^2} + 4\pi re^{\mu+\lambda}(wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}) \leq \dot{w} \leq C + 4\pi re^{\mu+\lambda}(wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}) \quad (3.17)$$

Since $0 \leq 4\pi re^{\mu+\lambda} \leq C$ on the support of f , we concentrate on the quantity $wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}$. Set

$$\tilde{w} := r^{-1}\tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{v}, \quad \tilde{u} := |\tilde{v}|, \quad \tilde{L} := r^2\tilde{u}^2 - (\tilde{x} \cdot \tilde{v})^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2} = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{v}) \left(w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \right) d\tilde{v} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+u^2}e^{2\lambda}e^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Using once again (3.14), one has on the support of f :

$$0 \leq e^{2\lambda} e^2 \leq C/r^4 \leq C\varepsilon^{-4} \leq C.$$

So, using this and (3.10), we obtain:

$$0 \leq 1/2 \sqrt{1+u^2} e^{2\lambda} e^2 \leq C \sqrt{C+w^2} \leq C \sqrt{C+P_s^2(t)}$$

Next, consider the first term in the right hand side of (3.18), with $w > 0$ (in $\text{supp} f$). For $\tilde{w} < 0$, we have:

$$w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \leq 0$$

and we obtain the following estimate for $\dot{w}$:

$$\dot{w} \leq C + C \sqrt{C+P_s^2(t)}. \quad (3.19)$$

For $\tilde{w} > 0$, we have, since term of $w^2 \tilde{w}^2$ cancels:

$$\begin{aligned} w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} &= \frac{\tilde{w}}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \frac{w^2(1+\tilde{L}r^{-2}) - \tilde{w}^2(1+Lr^{-2})}{w\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2} + \tilde{w}\sqrt{1+u^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{w}}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \frac{w^2(1+C\varepsilon^{-2})}{w\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \leq C \frac{w\tilde{w}}{1+\tilde{w}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last inequality follows from this fact:

$$1 + \tilde{u}^2 = 1 + \tilde{w}^2 + r^{-2} \tilde{L} \geq 1 + \tilde{w}^2.$$

We now use the above and (3.11) to obtain in polar coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \left(w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \right) d\tilde{v} &\leq C \int_{0 < \tilde{w} < P_s(t)} f w \frac{\tilde{w}}{1+\tilde{w}^2} d\tilde{v} \\ &\leq C \int_0^{P_s(t)} w \frac{\tilde{w}}{1+\tilde{w}^2} d\tilde{w} \\ &\leq C P_s(t) \ln(1+P_s^2(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and using (3.18) and the above estimates, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} &\leq C + C \sqrt{C+P_s^2(t)} + C P_s(t) \ln(1+P_s^2(t)) \\ &\leq C + C \sqrt{1+CP_s^2(t)} + C P_s(t) \ln(1+P_s^2(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\varphi_C(x) = \sqrt{1+Cx^2} + x \ln(1+x^2)$. Then since $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-x} \varphi_C(x) = 0$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $x > \alpha$, one has: $\varphi_C(x) < e^x$. Thus, $\varphi_C(x) < C' e^x$, where $C' = \max \left(1, \max_{x \in [0, \alpha]} e^{-x} \varphi_C(x) \right)$ and we deduce from the above that:

$$\dot{w} \leq C + C e^{P_s(t)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $w(\tau)$ be the values of w along a characteristic and set:

$$t_0 := \inf\{\tau \geq 0 : w(s) \geq 0 \text{ for } s \in]\tau, t(\{)\}.$$

Then $w(t_0) \leq C$. So, (3.20) yields by integration:

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &\leq w(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t (C + Ce^{P_s(\tau)}) d\tau \\ &\leq C + C \int_{t_0}^t e^{P_s(\tau)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\bar{P}_s(\tau) := \max(0, P_s(\tau))$, then for $t_0 = 0$, we can write:

$$\bar{P}_s(t) \leq C + C \int_0^t e^{\bar{P}_s(\tau)} d\tau$$

and using the Gronwall lemma, one has:

$$P_s(t) \leq \bar{P}_s(t) \leq \ln(e^C - ct) \leq \ln(e^C + ct) \leq C. \quad (3.21)$$

Next, for $\tilde{w} < 0$, (3.19) can be written as in (3.20) and then (3.21) follows for all $t \in [0, T[$. We now look for a bound of $P_i(t)$. Suppose $P_i(t) < 0$ and consider w in $\text{supp} f$ with $P_i(t) < w < 0$. For $\tilde{w} \leq 0$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} &= \frac{-|\tilde{w}|}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \frac{w^2(1+\tilde{L}r^{-2}) - \tilde{w}^2(1+Lr^{-2})}{w\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2} + \tilde{w}\sqrt{1+u^2}} \\ &= \frac{|\tilde{w}|}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \frac{w^2(1+\tilde{L}r^{-2}) - \tilde{w}^2(1+Lr^{-2})}{|w|\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2} + |\tilde{w}|\sqrt{1+u^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{|\tilde{w}|}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \frac{(-\tilde{w}^2)(1+Lr^{-2})}{|\tilde{w}|\sqrt{1+u^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{-\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{w}^2}} \frac{(1+C\varepsilon^{-2})}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} \\ &\geq -C \frac{|\tilde{w}|}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{w}^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use (3.21) to obtain, for $w < 0 < \tilde{w} \leq P_s(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} &\geq P_s(t)w - \sqrt{1+w^2+Lr^{-2}} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{w}^2}} \\ &\geq Cw - |\tilde{w}| \sqrt{1+C\varepsilon^{-2}+w^2} \\ &\geq Cw - P_s(t)\sqrt{C+w^2} \\ &\geq Cw - C\sqrt{C+w^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11) as we did before, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \left(w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2} \frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \right) d\tilde{v} &\geq \int_{\tilde{w} \leq 0} f \left(-C \frac{|\tilde{w}|}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} \right) d\tilde{v} \\
&\quad + \int_{\tilde{w} > 0} f(Cw - C\sqrt{C+w^2}) d\tilde{v} \\
&\geq \frac{-C}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} \pi \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{-P_i(t)}^0 |\tilde{w}| d\tilde{w} \\
&\quad + (Cw - C\sqrt{C+w^2}) \pi \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^{\bar{P}_s(t)} d\tilde{w} \\
&= -CP_i^2(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + C\bar{P}_s(t)(w - \sqrt{C+w^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using (3.17), (3.18) and (3.21), one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{w} &\geq -C\sqrt{C+w^2} - CP_i^2(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + C\bar{P}_s(t)(w - \sqrt{C+w^2}) \\
&\geq -C\sqrt{C+w^2} - CP_i^2(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + C\bar{P}_s(t)w \\
&\geq -C\sqrt{C+w^2} - CP_i^2(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + Cw
\end{aligned}$$

We look for an estimate of $w(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{w}^2 &= 2w\dot{w} \\
&\leq C(-w)\sqrt{C+w^2} + CP_i^2(t) \frac{(-w)}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + Cw^2 \\
&\leq C|P_i(t)|\sqrt{C+P_i^2(t)} + CP_i^2(t) \\
&\leq C + CP_i^2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$t_1 := \inf\{\tau \geq 0 : w(s) \leq 0 \text{ for } s \in]\tau, t[\}.$$

Then $0 \geq w(t_1) \geq -C$ and one deduces by integration:

$$\begin{aligned}
w^2(t) &\leq C + \int_{t_1}^t (C + CP_i^2(\tau)) d\tau \\
&\leq C + C \int_0^t P_i^2(\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

and we can proceed as we did before to obtain:

$$P_i^2(t) \leq C + C \int_0^t P_i^2(\tau) d\tau \quad (3.22)$$

if $P_i(t) < 0$. Now by the Gronwall lemma, we deduce from (3.22):

$$P_i^2(t) \leq C e^{Ct} \leq C$$

for all $t \in [0, T[$. Besides, if $P_i(t) \geq 0$ then

$$0 \leq P_i(t) \leq P_s(t) \leq C,$$

and $P_i(t)$ is also bounded for that case. So, $P(t)$ is bounded and Theorem 3.1 is proved.

We now focus on what happens when we are far from the center.

4 The Exterior Problem

Let r_1 and T be positive real numbers. Consider the exterior region:

$$W(T, r_1) := \{(t, r) : 0 \leq t < T, \quad r \geq r_1 + t\}.$$

In what follows, the initial value problem (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.18) and (2.19) are going to be studied on a domain of this kind. Take $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$, spherically symmetric, C^∞ , compactly supported and defined on the region $|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1$ and let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a regular solution of the constraint equations on that region. Since we are away from the center of symmetry, we have to change the boundary conditions (2.18) as follows: Let $m_\infty \geq 4\pi \int_{r_1}^\infty s^2 \rho(0, s) ds$, and $M_\infty \geq \int_{r_1}^\infty s^2 e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(s)} M(0, s) ds$. Take any solution of (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) on $W(T, r_1)$ and define

$$\begin{cases} m(t, r) := m_\infty - 4\pi \int_r^\infty s^2 \rho(t, s) ds \\ \bar{M}(t, r) := M_\infty - \int_r^\infty s^2 e^{\lambda(t, s)} M(t, s) ds \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Then for all $r \geq r_1$, $m(0, r) \geq 0$. So, we replace (2.18) by:

$$\begin{cases} e^{-2\lambda(t, r)} = 1 - 2 \frac{m(t, r)}{r}; & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t, r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t, r) = 0 \\ e(t, r) = \frac{q}{r^2} e^{-\lambda(t, r)} \bar{M}(t, r); & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e(t, r) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Note that the restriction of a solution of the original problem with boundary conditions (2.18) on $W(T, r_1)$, satisfies (4.2) if m_∞ is chosen to be the A.D.M mass of the solution on the entire space, and $M_\infty = \int_0^{+\infty} s^2 e^{\lambda(t, s)} M(t, s) ds$. Besides, to obtain a local existence theorem as in [2], we use the fact that (4.2) must hold on the initial hypersurface $t = 0$ that leads to the following condition:

$$m_\infty - \int_{|\tilde{x}| \geq r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + v^2} \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v) dv \right) d\tilde{x} < \frac{r}{2}, \quad r \geq r_1 \quad (4.3)$$

We now make precise the concept of regularity we use in this paper.

Definition 4.1 A solution (f, λ, μ, e) of (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) on the region $W(T, r_1)$ is said to be regular if:

- (i) f is nonnegative, spherically symmetric, C^1 , and $f(t)$ is compactly supported for all $t \in [0, T[$,
- (ii) $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \leq 0$, and λ , μ , λ' and μ' are C^1 , and e and e' are continuous.

We are ready to state and to prove a local existence theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Local existence and uniqueness) Fix $m_\infty > 0$. Let $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$ be a spherically symmetric function on the region $|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1$ which is C^∞ and compactly supported. Let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a regular solution of the constraint equations on the region $r \geq r_1$. Suppose that (4.3) holds and that

$$\int_{|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + v^2} \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v) dv \right) d\tilde{x} < m_\infty \quad (4.4)$$

$$\int_{|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1} e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\tilde{x})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v) dv \right) d\tilde{x} \leq 4\pi M_\infty. \quad (4.4')$$

Then there exists a unique regular solution of (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) on the region $W(T, r_1)$ with $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ which satisfies the boundary conditions (4.2).

Proof: Let $\overset{\circ}{f}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Suppose

$$\text{supp } \overset{\circ}{f} \subset B(R) \times B(R'), \quad R, R' > 0$$

where $B(R)$ is the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with radius R . If $r_1 \geq R$, then the constraint equations reduces to the static Einstein-Maxwell system and a solution for this system on the region $r \geq r_1$ is a part of the Reissner-Nordström solution [1]. Now, if $r_1 < R$, since the constraint equations is invariant with translation we can use perturbation techniques to establish a solution for the corresponding Cauchy problem provided $\overset{\circ}{f}$ satisfies an appropriate condition like (3.1). The reader can refer to [3], to have more details.

Next, let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a regular solution of the constraint equations. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 of [2]. Set

$$f_0 := \overset{\circ}{f}; \quad \lambda_0 := \overset{\circ}{\lambda}; \quad \mu_0 := \overset{\circ}{\mu}; \quad e_0 := \overset{\circ}{e}.$$

If λ_n , μ_n and e_n are defined on the region $W(T_n, r_1)$, then f_n is the regular solution of the Vlasov equation with λ , μ and e replaced by λ_n , μ_n and e_n respectively, corresponding to the initial datum $\overset{\circ}{f}$. Now given f_n , we define

λ_{n+1} and μ_{n+1} as a regular solution of equations (2.6) and (2.8) respectively, with ρ_n and p_n obtained from f_n and λ_n instead of f and λ . Finally, e_{n+1} is defined using (4.2) and thus the sequence of iterates is constructed. Next, the quantities λ_{n+1} , μ_{n+1} and e_{n+1} are defined on the maximal region $W(T_{n+1}, r_1)$ where $0 < m_n(t, r) < r/2$ so that we can define λ_{n+1} using the first equation in (4.2), and thus $\lambda_{n+1} \geq 0$ on $W(T_{n+1}, r_1)$. So, we can prove by induction that $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\mu_n \leq 0$ on the one hand, and that $(f_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n, e_n)$ is well defined and regular, on the second hand. The crucial step in this proof is to show that there exists $T > 0$ such that $T_n > T$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that the quantities λ_n , $\dot{\lambda}_n$ and μ'_n are uniformly bounded in n on the region $W(T, r_1)$. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(t, r) &:= r^{-1}(1 - e^{-2\lambda_n(t, r)})^{-1} \\ P_n(t, r) &:= \sup\{|v| : (\tilde{x}, v) \in \text{supp} f_n(t)\} \\ Q_n(t, r) &:= \|e^{2\lambda_n(t)}\|_{L^\infty} + \|L_n(t)\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

We can make the same estimates that we did in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [2] to obtain a uniform bound of $\tilde{P}_n(t) := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P_k(t)$, $\tilde{Q}_n(t) := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} Q_k(t)$ and following the proof of the above quoted paper, we obtain the desired result.

We now derive a corresponding continuation criterion. We mean by maximal interval of existence for the exterior problem, the largest region $W(T, r_1)$ on which a solution exists with given initial data and the parameters r_1 and m_∞ .

Theorem 4.2 (Continuation Criterion) *Fix $m_\infty > 0$. Let $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$ be a spherically symmetric function on the region $|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1$ which is C^∞ , compactly supported and satisfies (4.3) and (4.4). Let (f, λ, μ, e) be a regular solution of (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) on $W(T, r_1)$ with initial data $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$. If $T < \infty$ and $W(T, r_1)$ is the maximal interval of existence, then P is bounded.*

Proof: The conservation law (3.8) can be written as follows:

$$\partial_t(r^2 \rho) + \partial_r(r^2 e^{\mu - \lambda} k) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The integration of (4.5) on $[r, +\infty[$ allows us to conclude that the minimum value of m at time t is not less than at $t = 0$, and thus $F := r^{-1}(1 - e^{-2\lambda(t, r)})^{-1}$ is bounded on the whole region. Suppose that P is bounded. Then, using (2.7), $\dot{\lambda}$ is bounded on the support of f , and if T is finite, we obtain an upper bound of λ by integration. So, combining this with the low bound for λ already obtained prove that Q is bounded. This means that all quantities which influence the size of the interval of existence are bounded on $W(T, r_1)$, and thus the solution can be extended, and Theorem 4.2 is proved.

Next, using Theorem 4.2 and the estimates of Sect.3, we prove that the solution of the exterior problem corresponding to an initial datum like that assumed in Theorem 4.1 exists globally in time, i.e in that theorem, we can take $T = \infty$.

5 The Regularity Theorem

Here we consider the initial value problem (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.18) and (2.19). The following result shows that if a solution of this Cauchy problem develops a singularity at all, the first one must be at the center.

Theorem 5.1 *Let (f, λ, μ, e) be a regular solution of the above initial value problem on a time interval $[0, T[$. Suppose that there exists an open neighborhood U of the point $(T, 0)$ such that*

$$\sup\{|v| : (t, \tilde{x}, v) \in \text{supp} f \cap (U \times \mathbb{R}^3)\} < \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

Then (f, λ, μ, e) extends to a regular solution on $[0, T'[$ for some $T' > T$.

Proof: We give just a sketch of proof and one can refer to [[2], Theorem 4.1], for more details. Suppose that (5.1) holds. Since the equations are invariant with translation, one can choose T sufficiently small to insure that U contains all points $(t, \tilde{x})$ such that:

$$(T - t)^2 + r^2 < 4T^2, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} (x^i)^2.$$

For $r_1 < T$,

$$[0, T[\times \mathbb{R}^3 \subset U \cup W(T, r_1)$$

We denote $\overset{\circ}{f}$ the restriction of f to the hypersurface $t = 0$. The restriction of f to the region $|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1$ gives an initial datum for the exterior problem on $W(T, r_1)$. Let m_∞ be the A.D.M mass of $\overset{\circ}{f}$. We end the proof of Theorem 5.1 by distinguishing the following two cases:

- 1) f vanishes in a neighborhood of the point $(T, 0)$
- 2) f does not vanish in a neighborhood of $(T, 0)$.

If f does, by making a time translation such that the matter stays away from the center on $[0, T[$, we can apply the results of Sect.2. If f does not vanish in a neighborhood of $(T, 0)$, we make once again a time translation such that (4.4) holds and we can use the previous section to show the existence of a global solution on $W(\infty, r_1)$ satisfying (4.4). By assumption (5.1), P is bounded and we can apply Theorem 5.1 of [2], to show that the solution can be extended to a large time interval, and the proof is complete.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by a research grant from the VolkswagenStiftung, Federal Republic of Germany.

References

- [1] Hawking, S.W and Ellis, G.F.R., The large scale structure of space-time, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973
- [2] Noundjeu, P. and Noutchequeme, N., Local Existence and Continuation Criterion for solutions of the Spherically Symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system, General Relativity and Gravitation, Vol.36, June issue, 2004
- [3] Noundjeu, P.; Noutchequeme, N.; and Rendall, A.D., Existence of initial data satisfying the constraints for the spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 45, Issue 2, P.668-676
- [4] Rein, G.; Rendall, A.D.; and Schaeffer, J., A Regularity Theorem for Solutions of the Spherically Symmetric Vlasov-Einstein system, Comm. Math. Phys.168, p.467-478, 1995
- [5] Rein G., The Vlasov-Einstein System with Surface Symmetry, Habilitationsschrift zur Erlangung der venia legendi für das Fach Mathematik am Fachbereich Mathematik der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, März 1995, Mathematisches Institut der Universität München
- [6] Rein, G., and Rendall, A.D., Global Existence of Solutions of the Spherically Symmetric Vlasov-Einstein System with Small Initial Data, Comm. Math. Phys., p. 561-583, 1992
- [7] Rendall, A.D., An introduction to the Einstein-Vlasov system, Banach Center Publications, Vol.41, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academic of Sciences, Warszawa, 1997